

DOCKET NO.: FC1-2605/C2983  
Application No.: 09/944,266  
Office Action Dated: May 30, 2003

PATENT  
REPLY FILED UNDER EXPEDITED  
PROCEDURE PURSUANT TO  
37 CFR § 1.116

#### REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-7 and 13-15 are currently pending in the application. Claims 1-7 and 14-15 have been withdrawn due to the restriction requirement. Accordingly, claim 13 is presented for further review.

#### Claim Rejections:

Claims 8-10, 12-13 and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph. Claims 8-10, 12 and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Gallo (U.S. Pat. No. 3,497,850). Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Gallo in view of Means (U.S. Pat. No. 4,780,088). The rejections of record directed to claims 8-10, 12 and 21-22 are moot in view of their cancellation. The rejections directed to claim 13 are discussed in detail below.

Claim 13 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as allegedly containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In particular, the examiner states that "AC power cable plug" is not originally disclosed in the specification. Applicants have amended claim 13 by deleting "plug" from the end of this phrase. The remainder of the phrase -- AC power cable -- is disclosed in a plethora of locations within the originally filed application, and is therefore believed to comply with the first paragraph of section 112. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the section 112 rejection is respectfully requested.

Claim 13 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Gallo in view of Means.

Page 5 of 7

DOCKET NO.: FCI-2605/C2983  
Application No.: 09/944,266  
Office Action Dated: May 30, 2003

PATENT  
REPLY FILED UNDER EXPEDITED  
PROCEDURE PURSUANT TO  
37 CFR § 1.116

The examiner states that "Means, figs. 1 & 2, show a cover (20, 40) cover a housing (30, 10) and a mounting (not labeled) that the housing can float within the bracket. It would have been obvious to one with skill in the art to modify the connector of Gallo, Sr. by providing the connector with a cover and a bracket, as taught by Means, in order to protect the connector housing."

Applicants respectfully submit that the examiner has ignored distinguishing features explicitly recited in claim 13. Claim 13 recites, inter alia, "said cover having a groove around the perimeter thereof; and a mounting bracket having portions thereof loosely fitted within said groove such that said insulative housing can float with said mounting bracket". Means fails to disclose a groove around the perimeter of its cover. Furthermore, all of the structural features that Means does disclose are rigidly affixed to one another, and therefore, a floating configuration between any such features does not exist. Since Gallo and Means taken together fail to disclose all of the features recited in claim 13, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the section 103 rejection.

Conclusion:


The foregoing represents a complete response to the Office Action, and Applicants submit that claim 13 in its present form are in condition for allowance. Early and favorable consideration is earnestly solicited.

DOCKET NO.: FCI-2605/C2983  
Application N .: 09/944,266  
Office Action Dated: May 30, 2003

Date: August 25, 2003

Woodcock Washburn LLP  
One Liberty Place - 46th Floor  
Philadelphia PA 19103  
Telephone: (215) 568-3100  
Facsimile: (215) 568-3439

PATENT  
REPLY FILED UNDER EXPEDITED  
PROCEDURE PURSUANT TO  
37 CFR § 1.116



Andrew J. Hagen  
Registration No. 44,141